

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,550	02/02/2001	Toshiaki Nakano	P107156-00051	8044
7590 04/07/2006 ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.,			EXAMINER	
			BLACK, LINH	
SUITE 600 Washington, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2163	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/773,550	NAKANO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LINH BLACK	2163
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 D	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the second	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This communication is in response the documents dated 12/21/05. Claims 5 and 9 are pending in the application. Claim 9 is the independent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cupps et al. (US 5991739), Herman et al. (US 6341353), and further in view of Shimakawa et al. (US 6055536).

As per claim 9, Cupps et al. teach

a retrieval site - fig. 1, item 106; col. 2, lines 19-38 and 50-61.

a shop site – fig. 1, items 108; col. 2, lines 36-38; col. 7, lines 34-38; col. 12, lines 7-10

(A modern connection can be established which will enable communication between the

online ordering machine and the vendor through the Internet thereby allowing email communication, web communication, and the like.)

a map database site each connected to a computer network – fig. 2, item 130 and figs. 4-5; col. 5, lines 5-8; col. 6, line 19 to col. 7, line 33.

said retrieval site comprising a retrieval server, and a retrieval database storing data of genres of handled merchandises and service providing areas of registered shops – col. 8, lines 64-67.

said shop site comprising a shop server, – fig. 13, item 330; col. 2, lines 36-37.

The Mircrosoft Computer Dictionary Fourth Edition defined server as "On the Internet or other networks, <u>a computer or program that responds to commands</u>

from a client. For example, a file server may contain an archive of data or program files; when a client submits a request for a file, the server transfers a copy of the file to the client." In addition, figure 3 of the Applicants shows "a shop site" as a "fast-food delivery site". The examiner interprets a shop site is a vendor/restaurant site with a computer or program that responds to communication from the ordering machine 106 in which orders are initiated by the client 102.

wherein said retrieval server of said retrieval site retrieves shops whose genres of handled merchandises from said retrieval database correspond to a desired merchandise genre entered at an information terminal connected to said retrieval site and whose service providing area from said retrieval database includes a destination

Art Unit: 2163

entered at the information terminal connected to said retrieval site – fig. 1, item 106; fig. 2, item 106, 114 and 116; col. 2, lines 36-61; col. 9, line 47 to col. 10, lines 56.

Cupps et al. do not explicitly disclose a merchandise information database storing service request data of the shops. The examiner finds that it is not novel in the art that a business or a restaurant or a vendor's system or site to have its own database for storing service requests data. Herman et al. teach merchant site with merchant web server and merchant's database - fig. 19; an order record which is stored in a database on a trusted agent server - col. 1, lines 49-62; a merchandise information database storing service request data of the shops - col. 43, lines 4-67; ordering of products col. 26, line 38 to col. 27, line 18. Cupps et al. teach: "The online ordering machine categorizes the location of each participating restaurant by a set of longitude and latitude coordinates. Each customer's delivery location is also categorized by a set of longitude and latitude coordinates. The online ordering machine searches for those restaurants whose delivery area lies within the customer's location based on the restaurant's and customer's longitude and latitude coordinates. Likewise, the online ordering machine searches for those restaurants having takeout service within the customer's location based on the restaurant's and customer's longitude and latitude coordinates" - col. 2, lines 50-61; geocode database - fig. 2, item 130; col. 6, line 19 to col. 7, line 33. However, Cupps and Herman et al. do not explicitly suggest displays a map image on which the destination and retrieved shops are respectively indicated with landmarks. Shrimakawa et al. teach the information retrieval system 1 retrieves

Page 5

information of various types from the virtual world and delivers the information to the real world – col. 3, lines 3-7; mapping database – col. 4, lines 5-29; col. 7, line 18 to col. 8, line 65; figs. 7-13. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Cupps, Herman, and Shrimakawa et al. to allow users or customers to easily and quickly locate and purchase desired products or services on line thus help businesses grow faster.

As per claim 5, Cupps et al. teach wherein said retrieval site further includes a registered user database storing user information, to retrieve user information from said registered user database based on an entry on the information terminal, and retrieve a list of shops capable of providing the service and said service request data based on the retrieved user information – col. 9, lines 48-65; figs. 12a-b and 13 item 336 (repeat customer and updating database).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2163

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LINH BLACK whose telephone number is 571-272-4106. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

nhklade

April 3, 2006

DONWONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Art Unit 2163